Swansea Bay City Deal Programme Risk Register

Summary - Immediate Risks

This summary details the risks which pose the most pressing potential challenges to deliveyr of the City Deal Programme. The summary provides a snapshot in time.

Full details of all programme risks are detailed in the individual tabs and should be considered alongside this summary

Original Assessment:- MARCH 2018
Date:- OCT 2019

Latest Review

Risk Group	Risk Description	Category	Owner	Potential Consequence	Inherent Probability	Inherent Impact	Inherent Rank	Latest Review Date	Latest Update/Contr ol Actions	Current Probability	Current Impact	Current Rank
Developmental	Delay in approval of JCA	C6 C14	All	Unable to formally establish governance structures. Unable to draw down city deal funding. Unable to sign off project business cases. Risk of withdrawal of local authority / other partner from City Deal (see risks below)	3	5		21.10.19	Further to the findings and recommendations of the two SBCD reviews changes to the JCA have been made. The revised JCA has been considered and approved by all four Authorities. The revised JCA must also be agreed by both Governments which may delay the process.	3	2	
	Slippage in delivery of programme	C6 C14	JC	City Deal doesn't achieve the outcomes intended within the timescales agreed. Borrowing and recouperation does not accurately reflect spend	4	4		21.10.19	Both independent and internal reviews have been completed and action plan agreed to take forward the recommendations which has been accepted by SBCD Joint Committee. Implementation of the recommendations will require changes to existing procedures and may result in delays in programme delivery and project approvals for tranche two projects if these cannot be achieved quickly. Both Governments have confirmed that no project approvals, beyond those for Yr Egin and Digital District, will be granted until the Actica review recommendations have been implemented. Recruitment process for the appointment of the Programme Director is well underway and a Joint appointments panel has been established. The revised JCA has been approved by all four Authorities. The appointments process for the recruitment of specialist advisors to the ESB is also well underway.	3	2	
Implementation	Business case is not approved / project falls	C3 C11	RPAL / Delivery Lead	Project unable to proceed	3	5		01.July.19	Further to findings of the SBCD reviews a number of projects have been reviewed and substituted for an alternative scheme. This reflects recommendations of the SBCD reviews to increase flexibility of the programme. In addition outstanding issues around the funding package pose a threat to the Pembroke Dock Marine project in particular and if this continues to be unresolved the project may not be able to meet the required start date of their match funders. This may result in the project being unable to deliver certain elements as planned / at all. Control actions are as previous update.	3	4	
	Change in project scope pre-business case approval	C11 C6	Delivery lead	Project no longer requires same amount of funding. Project no longer achieves the necessary outcomes required for City Deal funding. Project is not approved and therefore unable to proceed / proceed as planned.	4	4		21.10.19	Change in scope of the Pembroke Dock Marine project has been approved by Joint Committee. Further to findings of the SBCD reviews some projects have been reviewed. This reflects recommendations of the SBCD reviews to increase flexibility of the programme. Any changes in scope will following the agreed review process as detailed in the JCA. Control actions are as per previous update.	3	4	
	Delay in development of business plans	C11 C14		Delay in project start. Depending on critical timescale could impact projects ability to deliver proposed outcomes. Potential knock on affect for other projects ability to deliver and achieve outcomes.	5	3		21.10.19	Two projects have been formally approved - Swansea Waterfront and Yr Egin subject to terms and conditions being received by Governments. A second tranche of projects are currently being progressed. In addition it has been agreed with both Governments that an independent peer review be undertaken at a regional level prior to formal submission of business cases to Governments. This will provide a quicker and more effective process for all parties going forward which should reduce time required to develop business cases to an appropriate standard.	3	3	
	Delay in approval of business plans	C11	PAL / RC / JC / Govs	Delay in project start. Depending on critical timescale could impact projects ability to deliver proposed outcomes. Potential knock on affect for other projects ability to deliver and achieve outcomes.	3	4			Further to findings of the SBCD reviews changes are required to the processes by which business cases are approved. Whilst this will not delay approval of tranche 1 projects it could potentially have an impact on the approval of future tranches of projects.	3	3	
ıancial	Failure to agree NNDR (rates retention) flexibility	СЗ		a Local authorities unable to borrow required for projects	4	5			In-principle letter received from Cabinet Secretary stating intention to initiate arrangements to allow the region to retain 50% of the additional net yeild in non-domestic rates generated by the 11 projects. Section 151 officers working up a proposal, so the mechanics and alloaction is acceptable to all.	3	3	

_
证

Timeframe for end of current EU funding programmes	С3	I All	Unable to deliver full funding package at both project and programme level.	3	3			Completion date for EU funded projects mid 2023 at the latest with all expenditure to be paid out by this date. This increases pressure to begin delivery of EU funded projects including those under the City Deal. Without City Deal sign off this may not be possible. Therefore timely approval of relevant projectsi.e. Pembroke Dock Marine and Supporting Innovation and Low Carbon Growth projects is essential to mitigating this risk.	3	4	
--	----	-------	---	---	---	--	--	--	---	---	--